IEC 61508 Functional Safety Assessment

Project:
3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter
with Option Code QT
Device Label SW REV 1.1.X and 1.2.X

Customer:
Rosemount Inc.
Emerson Automation Solutions
Shakopee, MN
USA

Contract Number: Q24/07-050
Report No.: ROS 11-02-57 R002
Version V4, Revision R3, July 15, 2025
Rudolf Chalupa

Management Summary

This report summarizes the results of the functional safety assessment according to IEC 61508 carried out on the:

- 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter

The functional safety assessment performed by *exida* consisted of the following activities:

- *exida* assessed the development process used by Rosemount Inc. through an audit and review of a detailed safety case against the *exida* certification scheme which includes the relevant requirements of IEC 61508. The investigation was executed using subsets of the IEC 61508 requirements tailored to the work scope of the development team. *exida* reviewed and assessed a detailed Failure Modes, Effects, and Diagnostic Analysis (FMEDA) of the devices to document the hardware architecture and failure behavior.
- *exida* performed a detailed Failure Modes, Effects, and Diagnostic Analysis (FMEDA) of the devices to document the hardware architecture and failure behavior.
- *exida* reviewed field failure data to verify the accuracy of the FMEDA analysis.
- *exida* reviewed the manufacturing quality system in use at Rosemount Inc.

The functional safety assessment was performed to the requirements of IEC 61508, SIL 3. A full IEC 61508 Safety Case was prepared using the *exida* SafetyCase tool and used as the primary audit tool. Hardware and software process requirements and all associated documentation were reviewed. Also, the user documentation (safety manual) was reviewed.

The results of the Functional Safety Assessment can be summarized by the following statements:

The audited development process, as tailored and implemented by the Rosemount Inc. 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter development project, complies with the relevant safety management requirements of IEC 61508 SIL 3.

The assessment of the FMEDA, done to the requirements of IEC 61508, has shown that the 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter can be used in a low demand safety related system in a manner where the PFD_{AVG} meets the requirements for SIL 2 according to table 2 of IEC 61508-1.

The assessment of the FMEDA also shows that the 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter meets the requirements for architectural constraints of an element such that it can be used to implement a SIL 2 safety function (with HFT = 0) or a SIL 3 safety function (with HFT = 1).

This means that the 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter is capable for use in SIL 3 applications in low demand mode when properly designed into a Safety Instrumented Function per the requirements in the Safety Manual and when using the versions specified in section 3 of this document.

The manufacturer will be entitled to use the Functional Safety Logo.


CERTIFIED
exida
IEC 61508
SIL 3 CAPABLE

Table of Contents

1 Purpose and Scope

This document shall describe the results of the IEC 61508 functional safety assessment of the Rosemount Inc.:

- 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter

by *exida* according to accredited *exida* certification scheme which includes the requirements of IEC 61508.

The assessment has been carried out based on the quality procedures and scope definitions of *exida*.

The results of this provides the safety instrumentation engineer with the required failure data as per IEC 61508 / IEC 61511 and confidence that sufficient attention has been given to systematic failures during the development process of the device.

1.1 Tools and Methods used for the assessment

This assessment was carried by using the *exida* Safety Case tool. The Safety Case tool contains the *exida* scheme which includes all the relevant requirements of IEC 61508.

For the fulfillment of the objectives, expectations are defined which builds the acceptance level for the assessment. The expectations are reviewed to verify that each single requirement is covered. Because of this methodology, comparable assessments in multiple projects with different assessors are achieved. The arguments for the positive judgment of the assessor are documented within this tool and summarized within this report.

The assessment was planned by *exida* agreed with Rosemount Inc.

All assessment steps were continuously documented by *exida* (see [R1] - [R5]).

2 Project Management

2.1 *exida*

exida is one of the world's leading accredited Certification Bodies and knowledge companies, specializing in automation system safety and availability with over 500 years of cumulative experience in functional safety. Founded by several of the world's top reliability and safety experts from assessment organizations and manufacturers, *exida* is a global company with offices around the world. *exida* offers training, coaching, project-oriented system consulting services, safety lifecycle engineering tools, detailed product assurance, cyber-security and functional safety certification, and a collection of on-line safety and reliability resources. *exida* maintains a comprehensive failure rate and failure mode database on process equipment based on 350 billion hours of field failure data.

2.2 Roles of the parties involved

Rosemount Inc.	Manufacturer of the 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter
exida	Performed the hardware assessment
exida	Performed the IEC 61508 Functional Safety Assessment

Rosemount Inc. originally contracted exida in November 2011 with the original IEC 61508 Functional Safety Assessment of the above-mentioned device.

2.3 Standards and literature used

The services delivered by *exida* were performed based on the following standards / literature.

[N1]	IEC 61508:2010 (Parts 1 - 3)	Functional Safety of Electrical/Electronic/Programmable Electronic Safety-Related Systems – Normative Parts
[N2]	IEC 61508:2010 (Parts 4 – 7)	Functional Safety of Electrical/Electronic/Programmable Electronic Safety-Related Systems – Informative Parts

2.4 Reference documents

Note: Documents revised for the 2020 audit are highlighted in grey below.

2.4.1 Documentation provided by Rosemount Inc.

Doc ID	Safety Case ID	Document ID	Document Description
[D1]	D001	Rosemount Inc. Quality Manual.docx; Rev 11.0	Quality Manual
[D2]	D003	Product Design And Development Process.docx; Rev 11.0	Overall Development Process
[D3]	D004	Configuration and Change Management Work Instruction.docx; Rev 8.0	Configuration Management Process
[D4]	D005	How to Write and Assemble a Failure Analysis Laboratory Summary.docx; Rev 5.0	Field Failure Reporting Procedure
[D5]	D006	Failure Analysis Process Description.docx; Rev 9.0	Field Return Procedure
[D6]	D007	Supplier Quality Manual.doc; Rev 8.0	Manufacturer Qualification Procedure
[D7]	D007b	Supply Chain Supplier Corrective Action Process Description.docx; Rev 7.0	Manufacturer Qualification Procedure
[D8]	D007c	Supply Chain Supplier Corrective Action Process Control Plan Work Instruction.docx; Rev 7.0	Manufacturer Qualification Procedure
[D9]	D008	Supplier Quality Manual.doc; Rev 8.0	Part Selection Procedure
[D10]	D008b	Engineering Change Order (ECO) Process.docx; Rev 10.0	Part Selection Procedure
[D11]	D010	Rosemount Inc. Quality Manual.docx; Rev 11.0	Quality Management System (QMS) Documentation Change Procedure
[D12]	D010b	Document and Record Control Process Description.docx; Rev 5.0	Quality Management System (QMS) Documentation Change Procedure
[D13]	D012	Corrective Action Preventive Action Process Description.docx; Rev 13.0	Non-Conformance Reporting procedure
[D14]	D013	Corrective Action Preventive Action Process Description.docx; Rev 13.0	Corrective Action Procedure
[D15]	D016	Peer Review Work Instruction.docx; Rev 9.0	Action Item List Tracking Procedure
[D16]	D018	Control of Monitoring and Measuring Equipment.docx; Rev 5.0	Test Equipment Calibration Procedure
[D17]	D019	Customer Notification Process Description.docx; Rev 7.0	Customer Notification Procedure
[D18]	D021	Product Design And Development Process.docx; Rev 11.0	Software Development Process
[D19]	D021b	Engineering Change Order (ECO) Process.docx; Rev 10.0	Software Tool Qualification Procedure

[D20]	D023	Engineering Change Order (ECO) Process.docx; Rev 10.0	Modification Procedure
[D21]	D023b	Safety Impact Analysis (SIA) Form.xlsx; Rev 6.0	Impact Analysis Template
[D22]	D029	Safety-related Systems Verification Checklists.docx; Rev 5.0	Verification Plan
[D23]	D036	iso-9001-certificate-rosemount-shakopee-chanhassen-eden-prairie-usa-en-79472.pdf; Exp. 10/7/2023	ISO 900x Cert
[D24]	N/A	701-063/2003T	TUV Certification Report of the 3144P SIS Temperature Transmitter
[D25]	D088	3144P_SIA	3144P Safety Impact Analysis
[D26]	D040	SafetyRequirements.pdf	Safety Requirements Specification
[D27]	N/A	SAS2550/04	TUV Certificate for 3144P SIS Temperature Transmitter
[D28]	D069	3144P_STD_SIS- HSTP.doc; Rev A	Hardware System Test Plan for the 3144P HART Temperature Transmitter
[D29]	D069	3144P_STD_SIS- STP.doc; Rev A.5	Software System Test Plan for the 3144P HART Temperature Transmitter
[D30]	N/A	3144P HART SCCT.htm; Rev 12/14/06	Minutes of Software Configuration Control Team meeting 5/23/06
[D31]	N/A	3144P STD/SIS SCCT.htm; Rev 12/14/06	Minutes of Software Configuration Control Team meeting 11/30/06
[D32]	D069	3144P_STD_SIS_ Accuracy.xls; Rev 12/14/06	Test Results for Accuracy Test
[D33]		3144P_std_sis_pdp.xls; Rev 12/14/06	3144P Project Defined Process
[D34]	D041	3144P_std_sis_sirs_ cons_log_and_report.xls; Rev C	Inspection Report for Safety Requirements Specification
[D35]	D043	3144P_std_sis_stp_ cons_log_and_report.xls; Rev 0.1	Inspection Report for Software System Test Plan
[D36]	D054	Summary_TraceMatrix.xls; 2/12/07	Requirements Traceability Matrix
[D37]	D045	HTP-3144_AD589 replacement.doc; Rev B	Hardware System Test Plan for the 3144 HART (headmount) transmitter
[D38]	D069	W__3144PH_source_ embedded_it_results_ 3144p_std_sis_it_re.pdf; 12/14/2006	Integration Test Report
[D39]	D078	00825-0100-4021; Rev DA	3144P Quick Installation Guide
[D40]	D078	00809-0100-4021; Rev EA	3144P Reference Manual
[D41]	D078	00813-0100-4021; Rev GA	3144P Product Data Sheet
[D42]	D088	PRD00031473.DOC; 2/8/07	Impact Analysis for PRD #00031473
[D43]	D040	3144p_std_sis_srs.html; Rev B.2	Software Requirements Specification

			for the 3144P HART® Standard/Safety Temperature Transmitter Project
[D44]	D033	Mohajer Training Records.xls; 2/12/07	Training Records/Competency Report
[D45]	D054	3144p_std_sis_ LiteratureReview02092007.doc; 2/12/07	Meeting Minutes from User Documentation Review
[D46]	D077	3144p_std_sis_EmulatorTests.doc; 2/12/07	3144 Safety as Standard Emulator Test Results
[D47]	D088	3144P_H7D_SIA_PRD00112196.doc; 1/19/12	Completed Impact Analysis Form for change to product
[D48]	D088	3144P_H7D_SIA_Software.xls	Impact analysis for planned software changes.
[D49]	D079	SafetyChcklist.pdf	Completed signed safety manual review checklist
[D50]	D077	3144P_H7D_Software_Fault_Injection Testing.doc	Software fault injection test results
[D51]	D079	00809-0100-4021, Rev JC	3144P Temperature Transmitter Reference Manual (includes safety manual)
[D52]	D033, D034	3144NextGenTrainingCompetency; 7/12/2012	Training and Competency Matrix
[D53]	D043	3144 H7D SVTP Rev B.2	SRD-SRS-SIRS Traceability
[D54]	D043	3144_SRD-to-ERS-SIRS-HSTP-SVTP_Traceability_Rev1	SIRS-SW Design Traceability
[D55]	D030	644_3144 Return Rates.xls; 2016-2020	Shipment records
[D56]	D030	644_3144 Return Rates.xls; 2016-2020	Filed return records

2.4.2 Documentation generated by *exida*

[R1]	Rosemount 3144 Change Audit.xls	Detailed safety case documenting results of assessment (internal document)
[R2]	ROS 11-02-057 R001, V3 R3, 15 May 2025	3144P SIS Temperature Transmitter FMEDA Report
[R3]	ROS V1R4 Baseline Procedures.xls	Baseline Safety Case
[R4]	ROS 11-02-57 SC001 V1R0 Safety Case WB-61508 – 3144.xls	Final Safety Case
[R5]	Rosemount 644 3144 PIU 2020.xls	Rosemount 3144 Proven In Use Analysis

Note: Documents revised as part of the 2023 audit are listed in Section 7 2023 IEC 61508 Functional Safety Surveillance Audit.

2.5 Assessment Approach

The certification audit was closely driven by requirements of the *exida* scheme which includes subsets filtered from IEC 61508.

The assessment was planned by *exida* and agreed with Rosemount Inc..

The following IEC 61508 objectives were subject to detailed auditing at Rosemount Inc.:

- FSM planning, including
    - Safety Life Cycle definition
    - Scope of the FSM activities
    - Documentation
    - Activities and Responsibilities (Training and competence)
    - Configuration management
    - Tools and languages
- Safety Requirement Specification
- Change and modification management
- Software architecture design process, techniques and documentation
- Hardware architecture design - process, techniques and documentation
- Hardware design / probabilistic modeling
- Hardware and system related V&V activities including documentation, verification
    - Integration and fault insertion test strategy
- Software and system related V&V activities including documentation, verification
- System Validation including hardware and software validation
- Hardware-related operation, installation and maintenance requirements

The project teams, not individuals were audited.

3 Product Descriptions

3.1 3144P Temperature Transmitter

This report documents the results of the Assessment performed for the 3144P 4-20mA HART Temperature Transmitter Hardware version 20 and Software version 1.1.X (Device Label SW REV 1.1.X). The 3144P Temperature Transmitter is a 2 wire 4-20 mA smart device. For safety instrumented systems usage it is assumed that the 4-20 mA output is used as the primary safety variable. The transmitter can be equipped with or without display.

The 3144P Temperature Transmitter is classified as a Type B device according to IEC 61508 (See section 7.4.3.1.3 of IEC 61508-2), having hardware fault tolerance of 0. Combined with one or two temperature sensing elements, the 3144P becomes a temperature sensor assembly. The temperature sensing elements that can be connected to the 3144P transmitter are:

- 2-, 3-, and 4-wire RTD
- Thermocouple
- Millivolt Input (-10 to 100mV)
- 2-, 3-, and 4-wire ohm input (0 to 2000Ω)

NOTE: The Rosemount X-Well is not available alongside the PT Option.

4 IEC 61508 Functional Safety Assessment Scheme

exida assessed the development process used by Rosemount Inc. for this development project against the objectives of the *exida* certification scheme which includes subsets of IEC 61508 -1 to 3. The results of the assessment are documented in [R2].

4.1 Methodology

The full functional safety assessment includes an assessment of all fault avoidance and fault control measures during hardware development and demonstrates full compliance with IEC 61508 to the end-user. The assessment considers all requirements of IEC 61508. Any requirements that have been deemed not applicable have been marked as such in the full Safety Case report, e.g. software development requirements for a product with no software. The assessment also includes a review of existing manufacturing quality procedures to ensure compliance to the quality requirements of IEC 61508.

As part of the IEC 61508 functional safety assessment the following aspects have been reviewed:

- Development process, including:
  - Functional Safety Management, including training and competence recording, FSM planning, and configuration management
  - Specification process, techniques and documentation
  - Design process, techniques and documentation, including tools used
  - Validation activities, including development test procedures, test plans and reports, production test procedures and documentation
  - Verification activities and documentation
  - Modification process and documentation
  - Installation, operation, and maintenance requirements, including user documentation
  - Manufacturing Quality System
- Product design
  - Hardware architecture and failure behavior, documented in a FMEDA

4.2 Assessment level

The 3144P Temperature Transmitter has been assessed per IEC 61508 to the following levels:

- SIL 2 capability for a single device
- SIL 3 capability for multiple devices

The development procedures have been assessed as suitable for use in applications with a maximum Safety Integrity Level of 3 (SIL3) according to IEC 61508.

The review of the development procedures is described in section 5. The review of the product design is described in section 5.2.

5 Results of the IEC 61508 Functional Safety Assessment

exida assessed the development process used by Rosemount Inc. for these products against the objectives of the *exida* certification scheme which includes IEC 61508 parts 1, 2, & 3 see [N1]. The development of the 3144P Temperature Transmitter was done per this IEC 61508 SIL 3 compliant development process. The Safety Case was updated with project specific design documents.

5.1 Lifecycle Activities and Fault Avoidance Measures

Rosemount Inc. has an IEC 61508 compliant development process as defined in [D1]. The process defines a safety lifecycle which meets the requirements for a safety lifecycle as documented in IEC 61508. Throughout all phases of this lifecycle, fault avoidance measures are included. Such measures include design reviews, FMEDA, code reviews, unit testing, integration testing, fault injection testing, etc.

This functional safety assessment investigated the compliance with IEC 61508 of the processes, procedures and techniques as implemented for the 3144 Temperature Transmitter development. The investigation was executed using subsets of the IEC 61508 requirements tailored to the SIL 3 work scope of the development team. The result of the assessment can be summarized by the following observations:

The audited Rosemount Inc. development process complies with the relevant managerial requirements of IEC 61508 SIL 3.

5.1.1 Functional Safety Management

Objectives

Structure, in a systematic manner, the phases in the overall safety lifecycle that shall be considered in order to achieve the required functional safety of the E/E/PE safety-related systems.

- Structure, in a systematic manner, the phases in the E/E/PES safety lifecycle that shall be considered in order to achieve the required functional safety of the E/E/PE safety-related systems.
- Specify the management and technical activities during the overall, E/E/PES and software safety lifecycle phases which are necessary for the achievement of the required functional safety of the E/E/PE safety-related systems.
- Specify the responsibilities of the persons, departments and organizations responsible for each overall, E/E/PES and software safety lifecycle phase or for activities within each phase.
- Specify the necessary information to be documented in order that the management of functional safety, verification and the functional safety assessment activities can be effectively performed.
- Document all information relevant to the functional safety of the E/E/PE safety-related systems throughout the E/E/PES safety lifecycle.
- Document key information relevant to the functional safety of the E/E/PE safety-related systems throughout the overall safety lifecycle.
- Specify the necessary information to be documented in order that all phases of the overall, E/E/PES and software safety lifecycles can be effectively performed.
- Select a suitable set of tools, for the required safety integrity level, over the whole safety lifecycle which assists verification, validation, assessment and modification.

Assessment

FSM Planning

The functional safety management of any Rosemount Inc. Safety Instrumented Systems Product development is governed by [D1] This process requires that Rosemount Inc. create a project plan [D25] which is specific for each development project. The Project Plan defines all of the tasks that must be done to ensure functional safety as well as the person(s) responsible for each task. These processes and the procedures referenced herein fulfill the requirements of IEC 61508 with respect to functional safety management.

Version Control

All documents are under version control as required by [D12].

Training, Competency recording

Competency is ensured by the creation of a competency and training matrix for the project [D52]. The matrix lists all of those on the project who are working on any of the phases of the safety lifecycle. Specific competencies for each person are listed on the matrix which is reviewed by the project manager. Any deficiencies are then addressed by updating the matrix with required training for the project.

5.1.2 Safety Requirements Specification and Architecture Design

Objectives

The main objectives of the related IEC 61508 requirements are to:

- Specify the requirements for each E/E/PE safety-related system, in terms of the required safety functions and the required safety integrity, in order to achieve the required functional safety.

Assessment

As defined in [D1] a safety requirements specification (SRS) is created for all products that must meet IEC 61508 requirements. For the 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter, the requirements specification [D26] contains a system overview, safety assumptions, and safety requirements sections. During the assessment, *exida* certification reviewed the content of the specification for completeness per the requirements of IEC 61508.

Requirements are tracked throughout the development process by the creation of a series of traceability matrices which are included in the following documents: [D26], [D36], [D53] and [D54]. The system requirements are broken down into derived hardware and software requirements which include specific safety requirements. Traceability matrices show how the system safety requirements map to the hardware and software requirements, to hardware and software architecture, to software and hardware detailed design, and to validation tests.

Requirements from IEC 61508-2, Table B.1 that have been met by Rosemount Inc. include project management, documentation, structured specification, inspection of the specification, and checklists.

Requirements from IEC 61508-3, Table A.1 that have been met by Rosemount Inc. include Forward Traceability between the system safety requirements and the software safety requirements, and Backward traceability between the safety requirements and the perceived safety needs.

This meets the requirements of SIL 3.

5.1.3 Hardware Design

Objectives

The main objectives of the related IEC 61508 requirements are to:

- Create E/E/PE safety-related systems conforming to the specification for the E/E/PES safety requirements (comprising the specification for the E/E/PES safety functions requirements and the specification for the E/E/PES safety integrity requirements).
- Ensure that the design and implementation of the E/E/PE safety-related systems meets the specified safety functions and safety integrity requirements.
- Demonstrate, for each phase of the overall, E/E/PES and software safety lifecycles (by review, analysis and/or tests), that the outputs meet in all respects the objectives and requirements specified for the phase.
- Test and evaluate the outputs of a given phase to ensure correctness and consistency with respect to the products and standards provided as input to that phase.
- Integrate and test the E/E/PE safety-related systems.

Assessment

Hardware design, including both electrical and mechanical design, is done according to [D1]. The hardware design process includes creating a hardware architecture specification, a peer review of this specification, creating a detailed design, a peer review of the detailed design, component selection, detailed drawings and schematics, a Failure Modes, Effects and Diagnostic Analysis (FMEDA), electrical unit testing, fault injection testing, and hardware verification tests.

Requirements from IEC 61508-2, Table B.2 that have been met by Rosemount, Inc. include observance of guidelines and standards, project management, documentation, structured design, modularization, use of well-tried components, checklists, semi-formal methods, computer aided design tools, and inspection of the specification. This meets the requirements of SIL 3.

5.1.4 Software (Firmware) Design

Objectives

The main objectives of the related IEC 61508 requirements are to:

- Create a software architecture that fulfils the specified requirements for software safety with respect to the required safety integrity level.
- Review and evaluate the requirements placed on the software by the hardware architecture of the E/E/PE safety-related system, including the significance of E/E/PE hardware/software interactions for safety of the equipment under control.
- Design and implement software that fulfils the specified requirements for software safety with respect to the required safety integrity level, which is analyzable and verifiable, and which is capable of being safely modified.

Assessment

Software (firmware) design is done according to [D1]. The software design process includes software architecture design and peer review, detailed design and peer review, critical code reviews, static source code analysis and unit test.

Requirements from IEC 61508-3, Table A.2 that have been met by Rosemount Inc. include fault detection, error detecting codes, failure assertion programming, diverse monitor techniques, retry fault recovery mechanisms, graceful degradation, modular approach, use of trusted/verified software elements, forward and backward traceability between the software safety requirements specification and software architecture, semi-formal methods, computer-aided specification and design tools, cyclic behavior, with guaranteed maximum cycle time, time-triggered architecture, and static resource allocation.

Requirements from IEC 61508-3, Table A.3 that have been met by Rosemount Inc. include suitable programming language, strongly typed programming language, language subset, and tools and translators: increased confidence from use.

Requirements from IEC 61508-3, Table A.4 that have been met by Rosemount Inc. include semi-formal methods, computer aided design tools, defensive programming, modular approach, design and coding standards, structured programming, use of trusted/verified software modules and components, and forward traceability between the software safety requirements specification and software design,

This meets the requirements of SIL 3.

5.1.5 Validation

Objectives

- Ensure that the design and implementation of the E/E/PE safety-related systems meets the specified safety functions and safety integrity requirements.
- Plan the validation of the safety of the E/E/PE safety-related systems.
- Validate that the E/E/PE safety-related systems meet, in all respects, the requirements for safety in terms of the required safety functions and the safety integrity.
- Ensure that the integrated system complies with the specified requirements for software safety at the intended safety integrity level.

Assessment

Validation Testing is done via a set of documented tests. The validation tests are traceable to the Safety Requirements Specification [D26] in the validation test plan. The traceability matrices show that all safety requirements have been validated by one or more tests. In addition to standard Test Specification Documents, third party testing is included as part of the validation testing. All non-conformities are documented in a change request and procedures are in place for corrective actions to be taken when tests fail as documented in [D1].

Requirements from IEC 61508-2, Table B.5 that have been met by Rosemount, Inc. include functional testing, functional testing under environmental conditions, interference surge immunity testing, fault insertion testing, project management, documentation, static analysis, dynamic analysis, and failure analysis, expanded functional testing, black-box testing, “worst-case” testing, and field experience.

Requirements from IEC 61508-3, Table A.7 that have been met by Rosemount, Inc. include process simulation, modeling, functional and black box testing, and forward and backward traceability between the software safety requirements specification and the software safety validation plan.

This meets SIL 3.

5.1.6 Verification

Objectives

- Ensure that the design and implementation of the E/E/PE safety-related systems meets the specified safety functions and safety integrity requirements.
- Plan the validation of the safety of the E/E/PE safety-related systems.
- Validate that the E/E/PE safety-related systems meet, in all respects, the requirements for safety in terms of the required safety functions and the safety integrity.
- Ensure that the integrated system complies with the specified requirements for software safety at the intended safety integrity level.

Assessment

Verification activities are built into the standard development process as defined in [D1]. Verification activities include the following: Fault Injection Testing, static source code analysis, module testing, integration testing, FMEDA, peer reviews and both hardware and software unit testing. In addition, safety verification checklists are filled out for each phase of the safety lifecycle. This meets the requirements of IEC 61508 SIL 3.

Requirements from IEC 61508-2, Table B.3 that have been met by Rosemount Inc. include functional testing, project management, documentation, black-box testing, and field experience.

Requirements from IEC 61508-3, Table A.5 that have been met by Rosemount Inc. include dynamic analysis and testing, data recording and analysis, functional and black box testing, performance testing, test management and automation tools, and forward traceability between the software design specification and module and integration test specifications.

Requirements from IEC 61508-3, Table A.6 that have been met by Rosemount Inc. include functional and black box testing, performance testing, and forward traceability between the system and software design requirements for hardware/software integration and the hardware/software integration test specifications

Requirements from IEC 61508-3, Table A.9 that have been met include static analysis, dynamic analysis and testing, and forward and backward traceability between the software design specification and the software verification plan.

This meets the requirements of SIL 3.

5.1.7 Modifications

Objectives

The main objectives of the related IEC 61508 requirements are to:

- Ensure that the required safety integrity is maintained after corrections, enhancements or adaptations to the E/E/PE safety-related systems.

Assessment

Modifications are done per the Rosemount Inc.'s change management process as documented in [D3]. Impact analyses are performed for all changes once the product is released for integration testing. The results of the impact analysis are used in determining whether to approve the change. The standard development process as defined in [D1] is then followed to make the change. The handling of hazardous field incidents and customer notifications is governed by [D17]. This procedure includes identification of the problem, analysis of the problem, identification of the solution, and communication of the solution to the field. This meets the requirements of IEC 61508 SIL 3.

The modification process has been successfully assessed and audited, so Rosemount Inc. may make modifications to this product as needed. The modification process has been revised to include a functional safety impact analysis. The initial post assessment modification to the 3144P Temperature Transmitter shall be audited by *exida* to confirm that a functional safety impact analysis was performed according to 3144P Temperature Transmitter's modification procedure.

- As part of the *exida* scheme a surveillance audit is conducted every 3 years. The modification documentation listed below is submitted as part of the surveillance audit. *exida* will review the decisions made by the competent person in respect to the modifications made.
    - List of all anomalies reported
    - List of all modifications completed
    - Safety impact analysis which shall indicate with respect to the modification:
        - The initiating problem (e.g. results of root cause analysis)
        - The effect on the product / system
        - The elements/components that are subject to the modification
        - The extent of any re-testing
    - List of modified documentation
    - Regression test plans

This meets the requirements of SIL 3.

5.1.8 User documentation

Rosemount Inc. created a safety manual for the 3144P Temperature Transmitter [D51] which addresses all relevant operation and maintenance requirements from IEC 61508. This safety manual was assessed by *exida* certification. The final version is considered to be in compliance with the requirements of IEC 61508.

Requirements from IEC 61508-2, Table B.4 that have been met by Rosemount, Inc. include operation and maintenance instructions, user friendliness, maintenance friendliness, project management, documentation, limited operation possibilities, and protection against operator mistakes.

This meets the requirements for SIL 3.

5.2 Hardware Assessment

To evaluate the hardware design of the 3144P Temperature Transmitter Failure Modes, Effects, and Diagnostic Analysis's were performed by *exida*. These are documented in [R1].

A Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) is a systematic way to identify and evaluate the effects of different component failure modes, to determine what could eliminate or reduce the chance of failure, and to document the system in consideration. An FMEDA (Failure Mode Effect and Diagnostic Analysis) is an FMEA extension. It combines standard FMEA techniques with extension to identify online diagnostics techniques and the failure modes relevant to safety instrumented system design.

From the FMEDA, failure rates are derived for each important failure category. All failure rate analysis results and useful life limitations are listed in the FMEDA report [R1]. Tables in the FMEDA report list these failure rates for the 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter under a variety of applications. The failure rates listed are valid for the useful life of the devices.

According to IEC 61508 the architectural constraints of an element must be determined. This can be done by following the 1_H approach according to 7.4.4.2 of IEC 61508 or the 2_H approach according to 7.4.4.3 of IEC 61508.

The 1_H approach involves calculating the Safe Failure Fraction for the entire element.

The 2_H approach involves assessment of the reliability data for the entire element according to 7.4.4.3.3 of IEC 61508.

The failure rate data used for this analysis meet the *exida* criteria for Route 2_H. Therefore, the 3144P Temperature Transmitter can be classified as a 2_H device. When 2_H data is used for all of the devices in an element, the element meets the hardware architectural constraints up to SIL 2 at HFT=0 (or SIL 3 @ HFT=1) per Route 2_H.

If Route 2_H is not applicable for the entire element, the architectural constraints will need to be evaluated per Route 1_H.

Note, as the 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter are only one part of a (sub)system, the SFF should be calculated for the entire element combination.

These results must be considered in combination with PFD_{avg} values of other devices of a Safety Instrumented Function (SIF) in order to determine suitability for a specific Safety Integrity Level (SIL). The architectural constraints requirements of IEC 61508-2, Table 2 also need to be evaluated for each application. It is the end user's responsibility to confirm this for each particular application and to include all components of the element in the calculations.

The analysis shows that the design of the 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter can meet the hardware requirements of IEC 61508, SIL 3 and SIL 2 for the 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter. The Hardware Fault Tolerance and PFD_{avg} requirements of IEC 61508 must be verified for each specific design.

6 2020 IEC 61508 Functional Safety Surveillance Audit

6.1 Roles of the parties involved

Rosemount Inc.	Manufacturer of the 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter
exida	Performed the hardware assessment review
exida	Performed the IEC 61508 Functional Safety Surveillance Audit per the accredited *exida* scheme.

Rosemount Inc. contracted *exida* to perform the surveillance audit for the above 3144P Temperature Transmitter. The surveillance audit was conducted remotely.

6.2 Surveillance Methodology

As part of the IEC 61508 functional safety surveillance audit the following aspects have been reviewed:

- Procedure Changes – Changes to relevant procedures since the last audit are reviewed to determine that the modified procedures meet the requirements of the *exida* certification scheme.
- Engineering Changes – The engineering change list is reviewed to determine if any of the changes could affect the safety function of the 3144P Temperature Transmitter.
- Impact Analysis – If changes were made to the product design, the impact analysis associated with the change will be reviewed to see that the functional safety requirements for an impact analysis have been met.
- Field History – Shipping and field returns during the certification period will be reviewed to determine if any systematic failures have occurred. If systematic failures have occurred during the certification period, the corrective action that was taken to eliminate the systematic failure(s) will be reviewed to determine that said action followed the approved processes and was effective.
- Safety Manual – The latest version of the safety manual will be reviewed to determine that it meets the IEC 61508 requirements for a safety manual.
- FMEDA Update – If required or requested the FMEDA will be updated. This is typically done if there are changes to the IEC 61508 standard and/or changes to the *exida* failure rate database.
- Evaluate use of the certificate and/or certification mark - Conduct a search of the applicant's web site and document any misuse of the certificate and/or certification mark. Report any misuse of the certificate and/or certification mark to the exida Managing Director.
- Recommendations from Previous Audits – If there are recommendations from the previous audit, these are reviewed to see if the recommendations have been implemented properly.

6.3 Surveillance Results

Items listed in section 2 of this report, highlighted in grey, have been revised during this surveillance audit.

6.3.1 Procedure Changes

There were no changes to the procedures during the previous certification period.

6.3.2 Engineering Changes

There were no safety related design changes to this product during the surveillance certification period.

6.3.3 Impact Analysis

A safety impact analysis for a minor change was reviewed and all documentation was found to be acceptable. ECO RTC1067377 and ECO RTC1071978 were reviewed.

6.3.4 Field History

The field histories of these products were analyzed and found to be consistent with the failure rates predicted by the FMEDA.

6.3.5 Safety Manual

The updated safety manual was reviewed and found to be compliant with IEC 61508:2010.

6.3.6 FMEDA Update

The FMEDA did not have to be updated for this surveillance audit.

6.3.7 Evaluate use of certificate and/or certification mark

The 3144P Temperature Transmitter website was searched and no misleading or misuse of the certification or certification marks was found.

6.3.8 Previous Recommendations

There were no previous recommendations to be assessed at this audit.

7 2023 IEC 61508 Functional Safety Surveillance Audit

7.1 Roles of the parties involved

Rosemount Inc.	Manufacturer of the 3144P Temperature Transmitter
exida	Performed the hardware assessment review
exida	Performed the IEC 61508 Functional Safety Surveillance Audit per the accredited *exida* scheme.

Rosemount Inc. contracted *exida* in August 2023 to perform the surveillance audit for the above 3144P Temperature Transmitter. The surveillance audit was conducted remotely.

7.2 Surveillance Methodology

As part of the IEC 61508 functional safety surveillance audit the following aspects have been reviewed:

- Procedure Changes – Changes to relevant procedures since the last audit are reviewed to determine that the modified procedures meet the requirements of the *exida* certification scheme.
- Engineering Changes – The engineering change list is reviewed to determine if any of the changes could affect the safety function of the 3144P Temperature Transmitter.
- Impact Analysis – If changes were made to the product design, the impact analysis associated with the change will be reviewed to see that the functional safety requirements for an impact analysis have been met.
- Field History – Shipping and field returns during the certification period will be reviewed to determine if any systematic failures have occurred. If systematic failures have occurred during the certification period, the corrective action that was taken to eliminate the systematic failure(s) will be reviewed to determine that said action followed the approved processes and was effective.
- Safety Manual – The latest version of the safety manual will be reviewed to determine that it meets the IEC 61508 requirements for a safety manual.
- FMEDA Update – If required or requested the FMEDA will be updated. This is typically done if there are changes to the IEC 61508 standard and/or changes to the *exida* failure rate database.
- Evaluate use of the certificate and/or certification mark - Conduct a search of the applicant's web site and document any misuse of the certificate and/or certification mark. Report any misuse of the certificate and/or certification mark to the exida Managing Director.
- Recommendations from Previous Audits – If there are recommendations from the previous audit, these are reviewed to see if the recommendations have been implemented properly.

7.2.1 Documentation provided by Rosemount Inc.

[D1]	644 and 3144P data.xlsx	Shipping data
[D2]	3144P Returns Received since 9-2020.xlsx	Returns data
[D3]	manual-rosemount-3144p-temperature-transmitter-en-104720.pdf	Safety Manual
[D4]	03144-2004_AC.pdf	Schematic, Transition Board
[D5]	03144-6007_AB.pdf	Schematic, 3144 Terminal Block
[D6]	03144-2108_AV.pdf	Schematic, 3144P Electronics Board Fieldmount
[D7]	SIA_RTC1077230.pdf	Safety Impact Analysis
[D8]	SIA_RTC1077730.pdf	Safety Impact Analysis
[D9]	SIA_RTC1077984.pdf	Safety Impact Analysis
[D10]	SIA_RTC1079188.pdf	Safety Impact Analysis
[D11]	SIA_RTC1079847.pdf	Safety Impact Analysis
[D12]	SIA_RTC1080543.pdf	Safety Impact Analysis
[D13]	SIA_RTC1080680.pdf	Safety Impact Analysis

7.2.2 Surveillance Documentation generated by *exida*

[R1]	ROS 11-02-57 SC001 V1R1 Safety Case WB-61508 - 3144.xlsm	IEC 61508 SafetyCaseWB for 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter
[R2]	ROS 23-08-155 FFA Spreadsheet 3144P 2023-11-02.xlsx	Field Failure Analysis for 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter

7.3 Surveillance Results

7.3.1 Procedure Changes

There were no changes to the procedures during the previous certification period.

7.3.2 Engineering Changes

There were no significant design changes to these products during the previous certification period.

7.3.3 Impact Analysis

An impact analysis for a minor enhancement was reviewed and all documentation was found to be acceptable.

7.3.4 Field History

The field histories of these products were analyzed and found to be consistent with the failure rates predicted by the FMEDA.

7.3.5 Safety Manual

The updated safety manual was reviewed and found to be compliant with IEC 61508:2010.

7.3.6 FMEDA Update

There were no safety-related changes to the hardware, so an FMEDA update was not required as part of this surveillance audit.

7.3.7 Evaluate use of certificate and/or certification mark

The Rosemount Inc. website was searched and no misleading or misuse of the certification or certification marks was found.

7.3.8 Previous Recommendations

There were no previous recommendations to be assessed at this audit.

7.4 Surveillance Audit Conclusion

The result of the Surveillance Audit Assessment can be summarized by the following observations:
The Rosemount Inc. 3144P 4-20mA / HART Temperature Transmitter continues to meet the relevant requirements of IEC 61508:2010 for SIL 2/3 in low demand applications based on the initial assessment and considering:

- field failure history

- permitted modifications completed on the product

This conclusion is supported by the updated SafetyCase and certification documents.

8 Terms and Definitions

Architectural Constraint	The SIL limit imposed by the combination of SFF and HFT for Route 1_H or by the HFT and Diagnostic Coverage (DC applies to Type B only) for Route 2_H
exida criteria	A conservative approach to arriving at failure rates suitable for use in hardware evaluations utilizing the 2_H Route in IEC 61508-2.
Fault tolerance	Ability of a functional unit to continue to perform a required function in the presence of faults or errors (IEC 61508-4, 3.6.3)
FIT	Failure In Time ($1x10^{-9}$ failures per hour)
FMEDA	Failure Mode Effect and Diagnostic Analysis
HFT	Hardware Fault Tolerance
Low demand mode	Mode, where the demand interval for operation made on a safety-related system is greater than twice the proof test interval.
PFD_{avg}	Average Probability of Failure on Demand
PVST	Partial Valve Stroke Test It is assumed that the Partial Stroke Testing, when performed, is automatically performed at least an order of magnitude more frequent than the proof test, therefore the test can be assumed an automatic diagnostic. Because of the automatic diagnostic assumption, the Partial Valve Stroke Testing also has an impact on the Safe Failure Fraction.
Random Capability	The SIL limit imposed by the PFD_{avg} for each element.
SFF	Safe Failure Fraction summarizes the fraction of failures, which lead to a safe state and the fraction of failures which will be detected by diagnostic measures and lead to a defined safety action.
SIF	Safety Instrumented Function
SIL	Safety Integrity Level
SIS	Safety Instrumented System – Implementation of one or more Safety Instrumented Functions. A SIS is composed of any combination of sensor(s), logic solver(s), and final element(s).
Systematic Capability	The SIL limit imposed by the capability of the products manufacturer.
Type A element	“Non-Complex” element (using discrete components); for details see 7.4.4.1.2 of IEC 61508-2
Type B element	“Complex” element (using complex components such as micro controllers or programmable logic); for details see 7.4.4.1.3 of IEC 61508-2

9 Status of the Document

9.1 Liability

exida prepares reports based on methods advocated in International standards. *exida* accepts no liability whatsoever for the use of this report or for the correctness of the standards on which the general calculation methods are based.

9.2 Version History

Contract Number	Report Number	Revision Notes
Q24/07-050	ROS 11/02-57 R002 V4 R3	FMEDA was updated for PTC and TC/RTD calculation examples. FMEDA reviewed by RPC. Added option code QT back to product description. This is still required to indicate the safety-certified version. VAM 15 July 2025
Q24/04-032	ROS 11/02-57 R002 V4 R2	Update to FMEDA report for reference (now meets SIL 2 via 1H as well as 2H), VAM 9-May-2024
Q23/08-155	ROS 11/02-57 R002 V4 R1	Surveillance audit; RPC, 2023-11-09
Q20/08-153	ROS 11-02-57 R002 V3 R1	Recertification; TES 10/27/2020
Q16/12/041	ROS 11-02-57 R002 V2 R3	Recert and Updated to IEC61508:2010; LLS 11/16/17
Q13/10-107	ROS 11-02-57 R002 V2 R2	Updated per customer comments; TES 2/6/15
Q13/10-107	ROS 11-02-57 R002 V2 R1	Recertification; FMEDA update, TES 11/21/14
Q11/02-57	ROS 11-02-57 R002 V1 R2	Added 4-20mA HART to the product name and removed Option code QS or QT from the name as this is no longer required to indicate the safety certified version.
Q11/02-57	ROS 11-02-57 R002 V1 R1	Updated based on comments on FMEDA report, May 14, 2012
Q11/02-57	ROS 11-02-57 R002 V0 R1	Draft, April 27, 2012

Reviewer: Molly O'Brien, *exida*, 11/21/2023

Status: Released, 7/15/2025

9.3 Future Enhancements

At request of client.

9.4 Release Signatures

Rudolf P. Chalupa

Rudolf P. Chalupa, CFSE, Senior Safety Engineer

Dr. Molly O'Brien, CFSP, Senior Safety Engineer

END OF DOCUMENT